

CONVEXITY AND LEAST SQUARE APPROXIMATION

OCTAVIAN MIRCIA GURZĂU

ABSTRACT. In this paper we define the notion of *n-m convexity* and find the connection with *n-order convex function* defined by Tiberiu Popoviciu.

**Introduction and notation**

Let E be a set of real numbers that contains at least  $m + 1$  distinct points:

(1)  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+1}$

and  $m + 1$  real numbers:

(2)  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{m+1}$

We note with  $V(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{n+1}})$  the Vandermonde's determinant on the nodes  $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{n+1}}$  and with  $L(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{n+1}}; y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_{n+1}})$  the Lagrange polynomial on nodes  $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{n+1}}$  and corresponding values  $y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_{n+1}}$ .

We call, as V. L. Gonciarov [1], for  $n \geq m$  the interpolation polynomial of degree  $n$  in the meaning of least square determined by nodes (1) and numbers (2) the polynomial of degree at most  $n$   $P_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+1}; y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{m+1})$  that minimizes:

$$(3) \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} (P_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+1}; y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{m+1})(x_k) - y_k)^2$$

**Theorem 1.** (V. L. Gonciarov [1]) *There is an unique polynomial of degree at most  $n$  that minimizes (3).*

We reproduce here the proof for using it in the next section of paper:

**Proof:** We will use the following notation:

$$(4) s_k = \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} x_i^k, k = 0, 1, \dots, 2n \quad \gamma_l = \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} y_i x_i^l, l = 0, 1, \dots, n..$$

From the minimum condition of the sum (3) it result that the coefficients  $a_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, n$  of the polynomial  $P_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+1}; y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{m+1})$  satisfy the system:

$$\sum_{i=0}^n a_i s_{i+k} = \gamma_k, k = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

It follows that:

$$(5) P_n(x_1, \dots, x_{m+1}; y_1, \dots, y_{m+1})(x) = - \frac{\begin{vmatrix} c_0 & c_1 & \dots & c_n & \gamma_0 \\ c_1 & c_2 & \dots & c_{n+1} & \gamma_1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ c_n & c_{n+1} & \dots & c_{2n} & \gamma_n \\ 1 & x & \dots & x^n & 0 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} c_0 & c_1 & \dots & c_n \\ c_1 & c_2 & \dots & c_{n+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ c_n & c_{n+1} & \dots & c_{2n} \end{vmatrix}},$$

where the denominator is (see [2]) is a sum of square of Vandermonde's determinants on  $n + 1$  distinct nodes from (1).

2. Main results

The connection between the polynomial  $P_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+1}; y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{m+1})$  and Lagrange polynomials on nodes (1) is:

**Theorem 2** *The polynomial  $P_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+1}; y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{m+1})$  is a convex sum of the Lagrange polynomials on  $n+1$  distinct nodes from (1):*

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} & P_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+1}; y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{m+1})(x) = \\ & \frac{\sum_{1 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_{n+1} \leq m+1} V^2(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, \dots, x_{t_{n+1}}) L(P_n; x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, \dots, x_{t_{n+1}}; y_{t_1}, y_{t_2}, \dots, y_{t_{n+1}})(x)}{\sum_{1 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_{n+1} \leq m+1} V^2(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, \dots, x_{t_{n+1}})} \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** The determinant that appears at the denominator in (5) is the determinant of the matrix product  $BC$  where:

$$B = - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & \dots & x_{m+1} & 0 \\ x_1^2 & x_2^2 & x_3^2 & \dots & x_{m+1}^2 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_1^n & x_2^n & x_3^n & \dots & x_{m+1}^n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & \dots & x_1^n & y_1 \\ 1 & x_2 & x_2^2 & \dots & x_2^n & y_2 \\ 1 & x_3 & x_3^2 & \dots & x_3^n & y_3 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & x_{m+1} & x_{m+1}^2 & \dots & x_{m+1}^n & y_{m+1} \\ 1 & x & x^2 & \dots & x^n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Using Cauchy-Binet formula (see [2]) it result that:

$$\det(BC) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_{n+1} \leq m+1} \det \left( [b_{k, i_k}]_{k=1, n+2} \right) \det \left( [c_{k, i_k}]_{k=1, n+2} \right)$$

If in the above sum  $i_{n+2} \neq m+2$  the first determinant has a zero row and is null. If  $i_{n+2} = m+2$  than the first determinant is  $V(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{n+1}})$  and the second determinant is  $V(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{n+1}}) L(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{n+1}}; y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_{n+1}})(x)$  so that the denominator from (5) is:

$$\sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_{n+1} \leq m+1} V^2(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_{n+1}}) \cdot L(P_n; x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_{n+1}}; y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, \dots, y_{i_{n+1}})(x)$$

and the numerator is (see the proof of theorem 1) :

$$\sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_{n+1} \leq m+1} V^2(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_{n+1}}).$$

For a function  $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $y_i = f(x_i)$  we denote the above polynomials with:

$$P_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+1}; f).$$

**Definition 1** *The coefficient of  $x^n$  from  $P_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+1}; f)$  is called divided difference of order  $n-m$  of the function  $f$  on nodes (1) and will be denoted with:*

$$[x_1, \dots, x_{m+1}; f]_n$$

**Definition 2** *The function  $f : E \rightarrow R$  is called  $n - m$  order convex (respectively nonconvex, polynomial, nonconvex, concave) if for all distinct points (1) from  $E$  :*

$$[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+1}; f]_n > \text{(respectively } \geq, =, \leq, < \text{)} 0.$$

**Theorem 2** *If the function  $f : E \rightarrow R$  is a  $n$ -order convex function on  $E$  then  $f$  is  $n-m$  order convex on  $E$  for every  $m > n + 1$ .*

**Proof.** This theorem results from formula (6).

**Theorem.3** *If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow R$  has continuous derivative of order  $n + 1$  and  $f$  is  $n-m$  order convex on  $[a, b]$  then  $f$  is  $n$ -order convex on  $[a, b]$ .*

**Proof.** We suppose that  $f$  is not convex of order  $n$ . Then there is a point  $c \in (a, b)$  in so that the derivative of order  $n + 1$  is negative in this point. Then there is a neighbourhood of the point  $c$  so that the divided difference on every  $n + 2$  distinct points from this neighbourhood is negative (the mean theorem for divided differences). If we choose all nodes (1) in this neighbourhood it results from (6) that  $f$  is not a  $n-m$  order convex function.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] V.L. Gonciarov. *Teoria interpolirovania i priblijenia functii*. Gosudarstvenoe izdatelstvo tehniko-teoreticeskoi literaturii, 1954.
- [2] Samuel Karlin ,Si William J. Studden. Total Positivity. Interscience Publisher, a division of John Wiley & Sons, New York.London.Sydney, 1967.
- [3] Tiberiu Popoviciu. *Notes sur les fonctions convexes d'ordre supérieur IX*. Bull. Math. de la Soc. Roum. des Sci., LXIII(12), pp: 85—141, 1941.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF CLUJ-NAPOCA,  
 STR. CONSTANTIN DAICOVICIU 15, 400020 CLUJ - NAPOCA, ROMANIA  
*E-mail address:* gurzau@math.utcluj.ro