

ON THE REPRESENTATION OF A  $H^1(a, b)$  DISTRIBUTION THAT FULFILLS  $u(a) = 0$

RĂPEANU ELEONORA

ABSTRACT. We define the closed cone of increasing functions and represent each  $u$  as a sum of its projection on cone and the remaining part. We then define a scalar product and prove that the induced norm convergence implies the uniform convergence on  $[a, b]$ .

**Proposition 1.** *The set of the increasing function on  $V \stackrel{not}{=} H^1(0, 1)$  with  $u(0) = 0$  is a closed con convex point zero.*

**Proof :**

*Con :* If  $v_1, v_2 \in C$  then  $v_1 + v_2 \in C$ ;  $v \in C, \alpha \geq 0$  then  $\alpha v \in C$ .

*Convex con :*  $\alpha v_1 + (1 - \alpha) v_2 \in C$ , for any  $v_1, v_2 \in C$ , and any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

If  $v_1, v_2$  increasing with  $v_1(0) = v_2(0) = 0$  implies  $v_1 + v_2$  with  $(v_1 + v_2)(0) = 0$ . If  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $v$  increasing, implies increasing  $\alpha v$  with  $(\alpha v)(0) = 0$ .

*Closed con :* If  $f_n(x) \xrightarrow{V} f(x)$  implies  $f_n(x) \xrightarrow{punctual} f(x)$ , for any  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

How  $f_n$  increasing,  $f_n \xrightarrow{punctual} f$  implies  $f$  increasing.

$$f_n(x_2) - f_n(x_1) \geq 0 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f_n(x_2) - f_n(x_1)) = f(x_2) - f(x_1) \geq 0$$

**In particular:**  $f_n \in C$ ,  $f_n \xrightarrow{V} f$  implies  $f_n \xrightarrow{punctual} f$  and how  $f_n$  increasing implies  $f$  increasing and  $f \in C$  implies  $C = \overline{C}$ .

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $u^* \in V$  ( $V$  gifted with  $a(u, v) = \int_0^1 u(x)' v(x)' dx$ ). Then his projection  $u^*$*

*in given norm  $\left( \|u\| = \sqrt{a(u, u)} = \sqrt{\int_0^1 u(x)'^2 dx} \right)$  on the con exist is unique and satisfied the relation (see Philippe Ciarlet[1]): there exist  $u_1 \in C$ ,  $u_1$  increasing such that*

$$a(u_1, v_h) \geq a(u^*, v_h), \text{ for any } v_h \in C$$

$$a(u_1, u_1) = a(u^*, u_1).$$

**Proof :**

$$a(u^* - u_1, v_h) \leq 0$$

$$\int_0^1 (u^*(x) - u_1(x)) v_h(x)' dx \leq 0, \quad \forall v_h \in C, v_h' \geq 0$$

implies

$$(u^*(x) - u_1(x))' \leq 0$$

$$u_2(x) \stackrel{not}{=} u^*(x) - u_1(x)$$

that is  $u_2$  decreasing;  $C_1 = \{u \in V, u \text{ decreasing}\} = -C$ .

Writing  $u^* = u_1 + u_2$  with  $u_1$  increasing,  $u_1(0) = 0$  and  $u_2$  decreasing  $u_2(0) = 0$ .

**Proposition 3.** We have put  $p(u, v) = \int_0^1 (u_1(x)'v_1(x) + u_2(x)'v_2(x))dx$ ; with  $u = u_1 + u_2$ ,  $v = v_1 + v_2$  decomposing after  $C$ , where  $p(u, v)$  is linear in each argument, strictly positive.

**Proof :**

Let  $\bar{u} = \bar{u}_1 + \bar{u}_2$ , where  $\overline{u_1 + u_2} \in C$  increasing and  $\bar{\bar{u}} = \bar{\bar{u}}_1 + \bar{\bar{u}}_2$  where  $\overline{\overline{u_1 + u_2}} \in -C$  decreasing.

$$\begin{aligned} p(\bar{u} + \bar{\bar{u}}, v) &= \int_0^1 ((\bar{u}_1(x) + \bar{\bar{u}}_1(x))'v_1(x) + (\bar{u}_2(x) + \bar{\bar{u}}_2(x))'v_2(x))dx = \\ &= \int_0^1 (\bar{u}_1(x) + \bar{\bar{u}}_1(x))'v_1(x) dx + \int_0^1 (\bar{u}_2(x) + \bar{\bar{u}}_2(x))'v_2(x) dx = \\ &= \int_0^1 \bar{u}_1(x)'v_1(x) dx + \int_0^1 \bar{\bar{u}}_1(x)'v_1(x) dx + \int_0^1 \bar{u}_2(x)'v_2(x) dx + \int_0^1 \bar{\bar{u}}_2(x)'v_2(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

implies the linearity  $u$ , similarly in  $v$ ; than  $p(u, v)$  is linear in each argument.

$$\begin{aligned} p(u, u) &= \int_0^1 ((u_1(x))'u_1(x) + (u_2(x))'u_2(x))dx = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 ((u_1(x)^2)' + (u_2(x)^2)')dx = \frac{1}{2} (u_1^2(1) + u_2^2(1)) \geq 0 \quad . \end{aligned}$$

$p(u, u) = 0$  implies  $u = 0$ .

**Proposition 4.** We put  $b(u, v) = p(u, v) + p(v, u)$  a scalar product on  $V$ , where

$$\|u\|_b = \sqrt{b(u, u)}, \quad b(u, u) \geq 0$$

$$\left( p(u, u) \geq 0, p(u, u) = \int_0^1 ((u_1(x))'u_1(x) + (u_2(x))'u_2(x))dx \right).$$

**Proposition 5.**  $u_n \xrightarrow{\|u\|_b} u$  implies  $u_n \xrightarrow{uniform} u$ .

**Proof :**

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{p(u, u)} &= \sqrt{\int_0^1 (u_1(x))'u_1(x) dx + \int_0^1 (u_2(x))'u_2(x) dx} = \\ &= \sqrt{u_1^2(1) + u_2^2(1)} \geq \max \{|u_1(1)| ; |u_2(1)|\} \geq \\ &\geq \frac{|u_1(1)| + |u_2(1)|}{2} \geq \frac{|u_1(t)| + |u_2(t)|}{2} \quad \text{for any } t \\ &\geq \frac{|u_1(t) + u_2(t)|}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_\infty \\ p(u, u) &\geq \|u\|_\infty^2, \end{aligned}$$

than  $u_n \xrightarrow{\|u\|_b} u$  implies  $u_n \xrightarrow{uniform} u$ .

**Proposition 6.**  $\|u\|_b^2 \leq K^2 \|u\|_a^2$

**Proof:** From inequality of the F. Poincaré we gave  $\int_0^1 (u(x))^2 dx \leq K^2 \int_0^1 (u(x)')^2 dx$ .

$$\int_0^1 (u_1(x))^2 dx \leq K^2 \int_0^1 (u_1(x)')^2 dx$$

$$\int_0^1 (u_2(x))^2 dx \leq K^2 \int_0^1 (u_2(x)')^2 dx$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} p(u, u) &= \left| \int_0^1 ((u_1(x))' u_1(x) + (u_2(x))' u_2(x)) dx \right| \leq \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |(u_1(x))' u_1(x) + (u_2(x))' u_2(x)| dx \leq \\ &\leq \int_0^1 (|(u_1(x))' u_1(x)| + |(u_2(x))' u_2(x)|) dx \leq \quad (\text{how } (|a| - |b|)^2 \geq 0) \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \left( \frac{(u_1(x)')^2 + (u_1(x))^2}{2} + \frac{(u_2(x)')^2 + (u_2(x))^2}{2} \right) dx \leq \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_\infty^2 &\leq p(u, u) \leq K^2 \cdot a(u, u) \\ \|u\|_\infty^2 &\leq \|u\|_p \leq K^2 \|u\|_a \quad . \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion:

$$u_n \xrightarrow{V} u \quad \text{that is } u_n \xrightarrow{\|u\|_a} u \quad \text{implies } u_n \xrightarrow{\|u\|_b} u \quad \text{implies } u_n \xrightarrow{\text{uniform}} u.$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. Ciarlet, *Numerical Analysis of the finite element method*, ed. Université Montréal , 1976.
- [2] G. Duvaut, *Mécanique des milieux continus*, Masson, Paris, 1990.
- [3] J.L. Lions, *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Ed Dunod, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [4] S. Sburulan, D. Pascali, *Nonlinear Mappings of Monotone Type*, Ed. Acad. Rom.- Sijhoff & Noordhoff Int. Publ., 1978.

OVIDIUS UNIVERSITY CONSTANȚA,  
 BD. MAMAIA NR.124, CONSTANȚA, ROMANIA  
 E-mail address: e\_rapeanu@yahoo.com