

## WAYS TO OBTAIN THE BERNSTEIN-STANCU OPERATORS

INGRID OANCEA<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Valahia University of Targoviste, Department of Mathematics, Bd. Unirii 18, Targoviste, Romania  
e-mail: [ingrid.oancea@gmail.com](mailto:ingrid.oancea@gmail.com)

**Abstract:** In this paper we present a probabilistic way to obtain Bernstein Stancu type operators.

1. In [1] D.D. Stancu defined for two positive numbers  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$  independent of  $n$  and for any function  $f \in C[0,1]$  the operator :

$$(P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) f\left(\frac{k+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right). \quad (1)$$

The Bernstein-Stancu operator uses the equidistant nodes  $a_0 = \frac{\alpha}{n+\beta}$ ,  $a_1 = x_0 + h$ , ...,  $a_n = x_0 + nh$  where  $h = \frac{1}{n+\beta}$  and because

$$\begin{aligned} (P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} f)(0) &= f\left(\frac{\alpha}{n+\beta}\right) \\ (P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} f)(1) &= f\left(\frac{n+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right), \end{aligned}$$

Bernstein-Stancu operator interpolates function  $f$  in  $x=0$  if  $\alpha=0$  and in  $x=1$  if  $\alpha=\beta$ .

Values on test function are given by:

$$(P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} e_0)(x) = 1 \quad (2)$$

$$(P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} e_1)(x) = x + \frac{\alpha - \beta x}{n + \beta} \quad (3)$$

$$(P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} e_2)(x) = x^2 + \frac{nx(1-x) + (\alpha - \beta x)(2nx + \beta x + \alpha)}{(n + \beta)^2} \quad (4)$$

so we can state that for any  $f \in C[0,1]$  the sequence  $((P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} f)(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges uniformly to  $f(x)$  on  $[0,1]$ .

2. Another way to construct this operator is presented in [3] where it is considered a function  $f$ , bounded on  $[0,1]$ , the positive numbers  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$  and for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in [0,1]$  the poligonal lines  $g_{px,n}$  are defined:

$$\left( \frac{px+k+\alpha}{n+\beta}, g_{(p-1)x,n} \left( \frac{px+k+\alpha}{n+\beta} \right) \right) \quad j = \overline{0, n-p}, \quad p = \overline{1, n}$$

with  $g_{0,n}$  given by:

$$\left( \frac{j+\alpha}{n+\beta}, f\left(\frac{j+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right) \right) \quad j = \overline{0, n}.$$

Using the Lagrange interpolation formula

$$L(f; a; b; t) = \frac{t-b}{a-b} f(a) + \frac{t-a}{b-a} f(b)$$

the poplgonal lines can be written as

$$g_{px,n}(t) = [1 - (n+\beta)t + px + j + \alpha] g_{(p-1)x,n}\left(\frac{px+j+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right) + [(n+\beta)t - px - j - \alpha] g_{(p-1)x,n}\left(\frac{px+j+1+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right),$$

for any

$$t \in \left[ \frac{px+j+\alpha}{n+\beta}, \frac{px+j+1+\alpha}{n+\beta} \right], \quad j = \overline{0, n-p-1}, \quad p = \overline{0, n-1}.$$

From the construction of poligonal lines we have

$$g_{px,n}\left(\frac{px+j+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right) = g_{(p-1)x,n}\left(\frac{px+j+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right)$$

$$g_{px,n}\left(\frac{px+j+1+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right) = g_{(p-1)x,n}\left(\frac{px+j+1+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right)$$

therefore

$$g_{px,n}\left(\frac{px+j+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^p \binom{p}{k} x^k (1-x)^{p-k} f\left(\frac{k+j+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right).$$

For  $p = n$  and  $j = 0$  we obtain the Stancu operator  $(P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} f)(x)$ .

**3.** Now we consider the Newton intepolation polynomial of a function  $f$  on nodes

$a_k = \frac{k+\alpha}{n+\beta}, k = 0, 1, \dots, n$  with step  $h = \frac{1}{n+\beta}$ ; we have:

$$(N_n f)(x) = f(a_0) + \sum_{k=0}^n (x-a_0) \dots (x-a_{k-1}) [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k; f] =$$

$$= f(a_0) + \sum_{k=0}^n \left(x - \frac{\alpha}{n+\beta}\right) \dots \left(x - \frac{\alpha+k-1}{n+\beta}\right) [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k; f] =$$

$$= f(a_0) + \sum_{k=0}^n ((n+\beta)x - \alpha) \dots ((n+\beta)x - (\alpha+k-1)) \frac{1}{(n+\beta)^k} [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k; f] =$$

$$= f(a_0) + \sum_{k=0}^n ((n+\beta)x - \alpha) \dots ((n+\beta)x - (\alpha+k-1)) \frac{\Delta_h^k f(a_0)}{k!} =$$

$$= f(a_0) + \sum_{k=0}^n ((n+\beta)x - \alpha)^{[k]} \frac{\Delta_h^k f(a_0)}{k!}.$$

If we denote  $(n+\beta)x - \alpha = y$  then  $x = \frac{y+\alpha}{n+\beta}$  and we get

$$(N_n f)\left(\frac{y+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right) = f(a_0) + \sum_{k=0}^n y^{[k]} \frac{\Delta_h^k f(a_0)}{k!}. \quad (5)$$

Now we consider a random variable  $Y$  defined as:

$$Y: \begin{pmatrix} k \\ p_{nk} \end{pmatrix}, \quad p_{nk}(x) = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}, \quad k=0,1,\dots,n, \quad x \in [0,1].$$

The mean value of the random variable  $(N_n f)\left(\frac{Y+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E\left((N_n f)\left(\frac{Y+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right)\right) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \left( f(a_0) + \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{\Delta_h^i f(a_0)}{i!} \right) p_{nk}(x) = \\ &= f(a_0) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\Delta_h^i f(a_0)}{i!} \sum_{k=0}^n k^{[i]} p_{nk}(x). \end{aligned}$$

If we take  $g(t) = E(t^Y)$  then

$$g(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n t^k p_{nk}(x) = (1-x+tx)^n,$$

and making  $t=1$  in the expression of derivative of order  $i \geq 0$

$$g^{(i)}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n k^{[i]} t^{k-i} p_{nk}(x) = n^{[i]} x^i (1-x+tx)^{n-i}$$

we obtain

$$g^{(i)}(1) = \sum_{k=0}^n k^{[i]} p_{nk}(x) = n^{[i]} x^i.$$

so the mean value can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} E\left((N_n f)\left(\frac{y+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right)\right) &= f(a_0) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\Delta_h^i f(a_0)}{i!} n^{[i]} x^i = \\ &= f(a_0) + \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} \Delta_h^i f(a_0) x^i. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand the Newton polynomial is interpolatory on nodes  $a_k$ , therefore

$$\begin{aligned} E\left((N_n f)\left(\frac{Y+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right)\right) &= \sum_{k=0}^n (N_n f)\left(\frac{k+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right) p_{nk}(x) = \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right) p_{nk}(x) = (P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} f)(x), \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that

$$(P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} f)(x) = f(a_0) + \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} \Delta_h^i f(a_0) x^i.$$

## References

- [1] Stancu, D.D., *Asupra unei generalizari a polinoamelor lui Bernstein*, Studia Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai, **14(2)**, 31-45, 1969.

[2] Stancu, D.D., *Use of probabilistic methods in the theory of uniform approximation of continuous functions*, *Revue Roumaine de mathématique pures et appliquées*, **14(3)**, 673-691, 1969.

[3] Stancu, D.D., *Folosirea interpolarii liniare pentru construirea unei clase de polinoame Bernstein*, *Studii si Cercetari Matematice*, **3(28)**, 369-379, 1976.

## **SOME REASONS TO FUZZY APPROACH OF THE CHOICE FUNCTIONS**

ALINA CONSTANTINESCU<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Valahia University of Targoviste, Department of Mathematics, Bd. Unirii 18, Targoviste, Romania

**Abstract.** *The human preferences and the choice represent a significant problem in many domains as the decision theory, economics or social life. In the real life there are a many choice function that are not rationalizable. The specialized literature gives as procedures which imbedded the non-rational functions in to one rational. A full of advantages method that treats the non-rational choice functions is the utilization to fuzzy theory in the choice problems.*

1. **Introduction.** A choice function are designed for describe a choice behaviour and it selects an object from a finite set  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_l\}$  of  $l$  objects.

**Definition:** Let  $P(X)$  a collection of  $A, B, \dots$  nonempty subsets of  $X$ . A single-valued **choice function**  $c$  on  $P(X)$  is

$$c: P(X) \rightarrow X$$

with  $c(A) \in A$  for every  $A \in P(X)$

**Definition:** For previous function, a **preference relation**  $\succ$  is said to **rationalize**  $c$  if and only if

$$c(A) = x, x \in A \text{ and } x \succ y \text{ for every } y \in A, y \neq x$$

In these conditions the function  $c$  is named **rational choice function**. The rational choice functions have the following property (see [1]):

**Property:** If  $A, B \in P(X)$ ,  $A \subseteq B$  and  $c(B) \in A$ , then  $c(A) = c(B)$ .

Also,

A choice function  $c$  is rationalizable  $\Leftrightarrow c$  satisfies the previous property.

Observations:

A binary relation on  $X$ ,  $\succ$  is preference relation if is irreflexive, transitive and total. A preference relation rationalizes a choice function  $c$  when it chooses the most preferred object from a set  $A$ .

**But in the real life there are a many choice function that are not rationalizable.**

**2. Reasons for fuzzy approach.**