ORIGINAL PAPER

ON AN INEQUALITY FOR THE MEDIANS OF A TRIANGLE

JIAN LIU¹

Manuscript received: 10.05.2012; Accepted paper: 23.05.2012; Published online: 15.06.2012.

Abstract. In this paper, we give two new simpler proofs of a sharp inequality for the medians of a triangle. We also establish two new inequalities by using this sharp inequality. Some related conjectures checked by the computer are put forward, which include two conjectures related to the famous Erdös-Mordell inequality.

Keywords: triangle, median, inequality, Erdös-Mordell inequality 2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 51M16.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 2000, X.G. Chu and X.Z.Yang [1] established the following geometric inequality: Let *ABC* be a triangle with medians m_a , m_b , m_c , circumradius *R*, inradius *r* and semiperimeter *s*. Then the following inequality holds:

$$\left(m_a + m_b + m_c\right)^2 \le 4s^2 - 16Rr + 5r^2, \tag{1.1}$$

with equality if and only if $\triangle ABC$ is equilateral.

This is a strong inequality and has some applications (see e.g. [1], [2]). In my recent paper [3], I have shown that the combinational coefficients in (1.1) is the best possible. In fact, by Theorem 2 in [3] it is easy to prove the following conclusion: For all inequalities in the form

$$\left(m_a + m_b + m_c\right)^2 \le k_1 s^2 + k_2 R r + k_3 r^2, \qquad (1.2)$$

inequality (1.1) is the best possible, where k_1 , k_2 , k_3 are constants and satisfy $27k_1 + 2k_2 + k_3 = 81$.

On the other hand, it is interesting that there exists the following sharp inequality (1.3) which is stronger than (1.1):

Theorem 1. In any triangle ABC with sides a, b, c, medians m_a , m_b , m_c , inradius r, and circumradius R, the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{\left(m_a + m_b + m_c\right)^2}{a^2 + b^2 + c^2} \le 2 + \frac{r^2}{R^2} , \qquad (1.3)$$

¹ East China Jiaotong University, 330013, Nanchang City, Jiangxi Province, China. E-mail: <u>china99jian@163.com</u>.

with equality if and only if triangle ABC is equilateral.

Remark 1.1. If $\triangle ABC$ might be a degenerate triangle, then the equality in (1.3) would also arrive at the case when A = 0, $B = C = \frac{\pi}{2}$. This fact shows inequality (1.3) is sharp.

H.Y.Yin first posed an equivalent form of (1.3) when the inequality (1.1) just had been set up (see [3], [4]). Until recently, (1.3) has been proved by the author in [3]. However, this proof is very complicated. The author used a lemma in [1], i.e. the inequality:

$$4m_b m_c \le 2a^2 + bc - \frac{4s(s-a)(b-c)^2}{2a^2 + bc}, \qquad (1.4)$$

with equality if and only if b = c.

In this paper, we give two simpler proofs of Theorem 1, both of which do not depend on (1.4). We also give two applications of Theorem 1. One of them is a beautiful linear inequality involving the medians and the altitudes of a triangle. Another result is about the acute-angled triangle. In the last section, we will propose some related conjectures.

2. NEW PROOFS OF THEOREM 1

In this section, we will give two proofs of Theorem 1. To simplify matter, we denote cyclic sums and cyclic products by Σ , Π respectively.

Proof 1: (The method of R - r - s) By Cauchy inequality, we have

$$(\Sigma m_a)^2 \le \Sigma (b^2 + c^2) \Sigma \frac{m_a^2}{b^2 + c^2},$$

$$(\Sigma m_a)^2 \le 2 \Sigma a^2 \Sigma \frac{m_a^2}{b^2 + c^2}.$$
 (2.1)

i.e.

Therefore, to prove inequality
$$(1.3)$$
 we need to prove that

$$\Sigma \frac{m_a^2}{b^2 + c^2} \le 1 + \frac{r^2}{2R^2}.$$
(2.2)

Using the known formula $4m_a^2 = 2(b^2 + c^2) - a^2$, it is easily known that inequality (2.2) is equivalent to

$$\Sigma \frac{a^2}{b^2 + c^2} + \frac{2r^2}{R^2} \ge 2.$$
 (2.3)

Since

www.josa.ro

$$\begin{split} & \sum \frac{a^2}{b^2 + c^2} + 3 \\ &= \sum \frac{a^2 + b^2 + c^2}{b^2 + c^2} = \sum a^2 \sum \frac{1}{b^2 + c^2} \\ &= \frac{\sum a^2 \sum (c^2 + a^2) (a^2 + b^2)}{\Pi (b^2 + c^2)} = \frac{\left(\sum a^4 + 3 \sum b^2 c^2\right) \sum a^2}{\Pi (b^2 + c^2)}, \end{split}$$

hence (2.3) is equivalent to

$$\frac{\left(\sum a^4 + 3\sum b^2 c^2\right)\sum a^2}{\prod \left(b^2 + c^2\right)} + \frac{2r^2}{R^2} - 5 \ge 0.$$

Thus, we have to prove that

$$X_{1} \equiv R^{2} \left(\sum a^{4} + 3 \sum b^{2} c^{2} \right) \sum a^{2} + \left(2r^{2} - 5R^{2} \right) \prod \left(b^{2} + c^{2} \right) \ge 0.$$
 (2.4)

Using the following known identities (see e.g. [5]):

$$abc = 4Rrs$$
, (2.5)
 $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2n^2 + 2n^2$

$$\sum a^{2} = 2s^{2} - 8Rrs - 2r^{2}, \qquad (2.6)$$

$$\sum k^{2} - 2r^{2} + 4r^{2} - 2(AR - r)^{2} + (AR + r)^{2} - 2r^{2}$$

$$\sum b^{2}c^{2} = s^{4} - 2(4R - r)s^{2}r + (4R + r)^{2}r^{2}, \qquad (2.7)$$

$$\sum r^{4} - 2s^{4} - 4(4R + 2r)s^{2}r + 2(4R + r)^{2}r^{2} \qquad (2.8)$$

$$\sum a^{2} = 2s^{2} - 4(4R + 3r)s^{2}r + 2(4R + r)^{2}r^{2}, \qquad (2.8)$$

$$\prod (h^{2} + h^{2}) = 2h^{6} - 2(12R - h^{2})h^{4}h + 2(4R + r)^{2}r^{2}, \qquad (2.8)$$

$$\prod (b^2 + c^2) = 2s^6 - 2(12R - r)s^4r + 2(40R^2 + 8Rr - r^2)s^2r^2 - 2(4R + r)s^7, \quad (2.9)$$

we obtain

$$X_1 = 4r^2 X_2, (2.10)$$

where

$$X_{2} = s^{6} - \left(8R^{2} + 12Rr - r^{2}\right)s^{4} + \left(20R^{4} + 32R^{3}r + 48R^{2}r^{2} + 8Rr^{3} - r^{4}\right)s^{2} - \left(4R + r\right)^{3}r^{3}$$

$$(2.11)$$

Obviously, the proof of $X_1 \ge 0$ is changed to $X_2 \ge 0$. If we put

$$G_{2} = 4R^{2} + 4Rr + 3r^{2} - s^{2},$$

$$T_{0} = -s^{4} + 2(2R^{2} + 10Rr - r^{2})s^{2} - r(4R + r)^{3},$$

then it is easy to verify the following identity:

$$X_2 = G_2 T_0 + X_3 \left(s^2 \right), \qquad (2.12)$$

where

$$X_{3}(s^{2}) = 2(6R+r)rs^{4} + (4R^{4} - 128R^{3}r - 84R^{2}r^{2} - 56Rr^{3} + 4r^{4})s^{2} + 2(2R^{2} + 2Rr + r^{2})(4R+r)^{3}r.$$

By identity (2.12), Gerretsen inequality $G_2 \ge 0$ and the fundamental inequality $T_0 \ge 0$ of triangles (see [5], [6]), to prove $X_2 \ge 0$ it remains to prove that

$$X_3(s^2) \ge 0 \tag{2.13}$$

Let $K = 4(6R + r)rs^2 + (4R^4 - 128R^3r - 84R^2r^2 - 56Rr^3 + 4r^4)$, then it is easy to show that *K* may be non-negative and also be negative by giving examples. So we can divide the proof of $X_3(s^2) \ge 0$ into the following two cases, i.e. $K \ge 0$ and K < 0.

Case 1. Assuming $K \ge 0$.

In this case, according to the property of parabolas and the Gerretsen inequalities:

$$16Rr - 5r^2 \le s^2 \le 4R^2 + 4Rr + 3r^2 , \qquad (2.14)$$

 $X_3(s^2)$ is strictly increasing on the interval $[16Rr - 5r^2, 4R^2 + 4Rr + 3r^2]$. So we only need to prove that $X_3(16Rr - 5r^2) \ge 0$, but

$$\begin{aligned} X_{3} (16Rr - 5r^{2}) &= 2r (6R + r) (16Rr - 5r^{2})^{2} + \\ & (4R^{4} - 128R^{3}r - 84R^{2}r^{2} - 56Rr^{3} + 4r^{4}) (16Rr - 5r^{2}) + \\ & 2(2R^{2} + 2Rr + r^{2}) (4R + r)^{3} r \\ &= 4r (80R^{3} - 85R^{2}r + 24Rr^{2} + 2r^{3}) (R - 2r)^{2} \ge 0. \end{aligned}$$

The latter inequality follows from Euler inequality $R \ge 2r$. Hence $X_3(s^2) \ge 0$ is proved under the first case.

Case 2. Assuming K < 0. For this case, it is easy to know that $X_3(s^2)$ is decreasing on $[16Rr - 5r^2, 4R^2 + 4Rr + 3r^2]$. Thus we only need to show $X_3(4R^2 + 4Rr + 3r^2) \ge 0$. Simple computations give

$$X_{3}(4R^{2}+4Rr+3r^{2})=4(4R^{4}+4R^{3}r+7R^{2}r^{2}+4Rr^{3}+2r^{4})(R-2r)^{2}\geq 0.$$

Therefore $X_3(s^2) \ge 0$ is valid under the second case.

Combing with the arguments of the two cases, $X_3(s^2) \ge 0$ holds for all triangle *ABC*. Therefore, (2.4), (2.3), (2.2) and (1.3) are all proved. From the deductions above, it is clear that the equality in (1.3) holds only when $\triangle ABC$ is equilateral. The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

Proof 2: (The method of the Difference Substitution) Firstly, we can turn the proof of (1.3) into the inequality (2.3) as above. Since

$$\frac{r}{R} = \frac{\prod(b+c-a)}{2abc} , \qquad (2.15)$$

thus inequality (2.3) is equivalent to

$$\Sigma \frac{a^2}{b^2 + c^2} + \frac{\prod (b + c - a)^2}{2(abc)^2} \ge 2, \qquad (2.16)$$

i.e.,

$$Y_{0} \equiv 2 (abc)^{2} \sum a^{2} (c^{2} + a^{2}) (a^{2} + b^{2}) + + \Pi (b^{2} + c^{2}) \Pi (b + c - a)^{2} - 4 (abc)^{2} \Pi (b^{2} + c^{2}) \ge 0.$$
(2.17)

Let b + c - a = 2x, c + a - b = 2y, a + b - c = 2z, then a = y + z, b = z + x, c = x + y, and we have

$$Y_{0} = 2 \Pi (y+z)^{2} \Sigma (y+z)^{2} [(x+y)^{2} + (y+z)^{2}] [(y+z)^{2} + (z+x)^{2}]$$

+ $\Pi [(z+x)^{2} + (x+y)^{2}] \Pi x^{2}$
- $4 \Pi (y+z)^{2} \Pi [(z+x)^{2} + (x+y)^{2}].$ (2.18)

Because of symmetry, we assume without loss of generality that $x \ge y \ge z$ and let

$$\begin{cases} y = z + m \\ x = z + m + n \end{cases}.$$
(2.19)

where $m \ge 0$ and $n \ge 0$. Substituting (2.19) into (2.18), with help of the mathematical software we obtain the following identity:

$$\begin{split} Y_0 &= 1024 \ (m^2 + mn + n^2)^2 z^8 + 256 \ (2m + n)(m^2 + mn + n^2)(13m^2 + 13mn + 6n^2) z^7 + \\ &+ (18816 \ m^6 + 56448 \ m^5 n + 79680 \ m^4 n^2 + 65280 \ m^3 n^3 + 31296 \ m^2 n^4 + 8064 \ mn^5 + \\ &+ 896 \ n^6) z^6 + 128 \ (2m + n)(118 \ m^6 + 354 \ m^5 n + 451 \ m^4 n^2 + 312 \ m^3 n^3 + 121 \ m^2 n^4 + \\ &+ 24 \ mn^5 + 2 \ n^6) z^5 + 30112 \ m^8 + 120448 \ m^7 n + 202496 \ m^6 n^2 + 185920 \ m^5 n^3 + \\ &+ 101792 \ m^4 n^4 + 3240 \ m^3 n^5 + 6976 \ m^2 n^6 + 768 \ mn^7 + 32 \ n^8) z^4 + \\ &+ 64 \ (2m + n)(m + n)(149 \ m^6 + 447 \ m^5 n + 513 \ m^4 n^2 + 281 \ m^3 n^3 + 79 \ m^2 n^4 + \\ &+ 13 \ mn^5 + n^6) z^3 m + 16 \ (468 \ m^6 + 1404 \ m^5 n + 1627 \ m^4 n^2 + 914 \ m^3 n^3 + 261 \ m^2 n^4 + \\ &+ 38 \ mn^5 + 3 \ n^6)(m + n)^2 z^2 m^2 + 16 \ (13 \ m^2 + 13 \ mn + n^2)(2m + n)^3(m + n)^3 zm^3 + \\ &+ 10 \ (2m + n)^4(m + n)^4 m^4 \,. \end{split}$$

So inequality $Y_0 \ge 0$ holds obviously by $m \ge 0$, $n \ge 0$, and z > 0. Hence (2.17), (2.16) and then (1.3) are proved. The equality in $Y_0 \ge 0$ holds if and only if m = n = 0. Further, it is known that the equalities in (2.17) and (1.3) occurs only when a = b = c, i.e. $\triangle ABC$ is equilateral. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Remark 2.1. From inequality (2.1), using previous methods to prove Theorem 1 we can also prove the following inequality:

$$\frac{\left(m_a + m_b + m_c\right)^4}{b^2 c^2 + c^2 a^2 + a^2 b^2} \le 4 - \frac{13r^2}{4R^2},$$
(2.21)

which is posed by the author in [3].

3. TWO APPLICATIONS OF THEOREM 1

In this section, we will apply Theorem 1 to establish two new triangle inequalities, which are not both proved by using inequality (1.1).

We first prove the following beautiful linear inequality:

Theorem A. For all $\triangle ABC$ holds:

$$m_a + m_b + m_c - (h_a + h_b + h_c) \le 2(R - 2r)$$
, (3.1)

with equality if and only if $\triangle ABC$ is equilateral.

Proof: By Theorem 1, to prove (3.1) we need to prove that

$$\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\left(2+\frac{r^{2}}{R^{2}}\right) \leq \left[h_{a}+h_{b}+h_{c}+2\left(R-2r\right)\right]^{2}.$$
(3.2)

Multiplying both sides of this inequality by $4R^2$ and using the relation $2Rh_a = bc$ etc., inequality (3.2) becomes the following equivalent form:

$$M_{0} = \left[bc + ca + ab + 4R \left(R - 2r\right)\right]^{2} - 4 \left(a^{2} + b^{2} + c^{2}\right)\left(2R^{2} + r^{2}\right) \ge 0.$$
(3.3)

Applying identity (2.6) and the known identity:

$$bc + ca + ab = s^2 + 4Rr + r^2, (3.4)$$

it is easy to get

$$M_0 = (4R^2 + 4Rr + 3r^2 - s^2)^2.$$

Thus the claimed inequality $M_0 \ge 0$ follows and (3.1) is proved. It is clear that the equality in (3.1) holds only when $\triangle ABC$ is equilateral. This completes the proof of Theorem A.

Remark 3.1. By the method to prove Theorem 2 in [3], we can prove that the constant 2 of the right side of (3.1) is the best possible. In addition, from Leuenberger's inequality (see [6]):

$$h_a + h_b + h_c \le 2R + 5r, (3.5)$$

we see that inequality (3.1) is stronger than the known result (see [6]):

$$m_a + m_b + m_c \le 4R + r$$
. (3.6)

Remark 3.2. By using inequality (1.1), it is easy to prove another linear inequality for the sum $m_a + m_b + m_c$:

$$m_a + m_b + m_c \le 2s - (6\sqrt{3} - 9)r$$
. (3.7)

This inequality is also stronger than (3.6) since we have the following inequality:

$$s \le 2R + (3\sqrt{3} - 4)r$$
, (3.8)

which is due to W.J.Blundon (see [7], [8], [9]).

Next, we prove an inequality for the acute-angled triangle, which was found by the author many years ago, but has not been proved before.

Theorem B. For acute-angled *ABC* holds:

$$\frac{h_a + h_b + h_c}{m_a + m_b + m_c} \ge \frac{1}{2} + \frac{r}{R} , \qquad (3.9)$$

with equality if and only if acute-angled $\triangle ABC$ is equilateral.

Proof. By Theorem 1, to prove (3.9) we need to show that

$$\left(h_{a}+h_{b}+h_{c}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{r}{R}\right)^{2}\left(2+\frac{r^{2}}{R^{2}}\right)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right)\geq0.$$
(3.10)

Multiplying both sides of the above by $4R^4$ and then using the relation $2Rh_a = bc$ etc., we see (3.10) is equivalent to

$$N_0 = R^2 \left(bc + ca + ab \right)^2 - \left(R + 2r \right)^2 \left(2R^2 + r^2 \right) \left(a^2 + b^2 + c^2 \right) \ge 0.$$
 (3.11)

Substituting (2.6) and (3.4) into the expression of N_0 , then (3.11) is equivalent to

$$N_{0} \equiv s^{4}R^{2} - 4 \left(R^{4} + 2R^{3}r + 4R^{2}r^{2} + 2Rr^{3} + 2r^{4} \right) s^{2} + (4R+r) \left(4R^{4} + 20R^{3}r + 19R^{2}r^{2} + 8Rr^{3} + 8r^{4} \right) r \ge 0 .$$
(3.12)

We rewrite N_0 as follows

$$N_{0} = 4r^{2} (R + 2r) (2R^{2} + r^{2}) e + 8r^{4}G_{2} + R [4r (3R + r)e + RG_{1}] C_{0} , \qquad (3.13)$$

where

$$e = R - 2r$$
$$G_1 = s^2 - 16Rr + 5r^2$$

$$G_{2} = 4R^{2} + 4Rr + 3r^{2} - s^{2}$$
$$C_{0} = s^{2} - (2R + r)^{2}.$$

Therefore, by Euler inequality $e \ge 0$, Gerretsen inequalities $G_1 \ge 0$, $G_2 \ge 0$ (see [5], [6]) and the acute triangle inequality $C_0 \ge 0$ of Ciamberlini (see [10]), we conclude $N_0 \ge 0$ holds for *acute-angled* ΔABC . Hence inequality (3.10) and (3.9) are proved. It is easy to see that the equality in (3.9) holds when ΔABC is equilateral. The proof of Theorem B is completed.

4. SEVERAL CONJECTURES

In this section, we will propose some conjectures for the inequalities appeared in this note.

Considering the exponential generalization of Theorem A with help of the computer for verifying, we pose the following three similar conjectures:

Conjecture 1. If 0 < k < 1, then for any $\triangle ABC$ we have

$$(m_a + m_b + m_c)^k - (h_a + h_b + h_c)^k \le (2 R)^k - (4r)^k$$
(4.1)

If $\triangle ABC$ is an acute triangle and $k \ge 1.1$, then the inequality holds reversed.

Remark 4.1. It is easy to prove that (4.1) is reversed for all triangles if k < 0.

Conjecture 2. *If* $\triangle ABC$ *is an acute triangle and* $k \ge 1.1$ *, then we have*

$$m_{a}^{k} + m_{b}^{k} + m_{c}^{k} - \left(h_{a}^{k} + h_{b}^{k} + h_{c}^{k}\right) \ge 2\left(R^{k} - 2^{k}r^{k}\right).$$
(4.2)

Conjecture 3. *If* k > 1 *or* k < 0*, then for any* $\triangle ABC$ *we have*

$$m_{a}^{k} + m_{b}^{k} + m_{c}^{k} - \left(h_{a}^{k} + h_{b}^{k} + h_{c}^{k}\right) \leq \left(2 R\right)^{k} - \left(4r\right)^{k}.$$
(4.3)

When k = -1, (4.3) is actually equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{m_a} + \frac{1}{m_b} + \frac{1}{m_c} \le \frac{1}{2R} + \frac{3}{4r}, \tag{4.4}$$

which is clear weaker than the known inequality (see [11]):

$$\frac{1}{w_a} + \frac{1}{w_b} + \frac{1}{w_c} \le \frac{1}{2R} + \frac{3}{4r}, \qquad (4.5)$$

where w_a , w_b , w_c are three internal bisectors of $\triangle ABC$. On the other hand, (4.4) can be refined the following:

$$\frac{1}{m_a} + \frac{1}{m_b} + \frac{1}{m_c} \le \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{1}{R} + \frac{1}{r} \right), \tag{4.6}$$

which is proved by the author in [12].

Considering the lower bound of the left hand side of (3.1), we give

Conjecture 4. *For any ∆ABC we have*

$$m_{a} + m_{b} + m_{c} - (h_{a} + h_{b} + h_{c}) \ge s - 3\sqrt{3}r.$$
(4.7)

If (4.7) holds true, then Blundon's inequality (3.8) can be obtain from (3.1) and (4.7). Next, we give a double inequality conjecture which is inspired by Theorem B:

Conjecture 5. For any $\triangle ABC$ we have

$$\frac{k_a + k_b + k_c}{m_a + m_b + m_c} \ge \frac{1}{2} + \frac{r}{R} \ge \frac{k_a + k_b + k_c}{r_a + r_b + r_c} , \qquad (4.8)$$

where k_a, k_b, k_c are symmedians of $\triangle ABC$ and r_a, r_b, r_c are radii of excircles of $\triangle ABC$. Considering the exponential generalization of inequality (2.3), we present **Conjecture 6.** If k > 2, then for any $\triangle ABC$ we have

$$\frac{a^{k}}{b^{k}+c^{k}} + \frac{b^{k}}{c^{k}+a^{k}} + \frac{c^{k}}{a^{k}+b^{k}} + 2^{k-1}\frac{r^{k}}{R^{k}} \ge 2.$$
(4.9)

If $0 < k \le \frac{8}{5}$, then the inequality is reversed.

The classical Erdös-Mordell inequality can be stated as follows: Let *P* be an interior point of $\triangle ABC$. Denote by R_1 , R_2 , R_3 the distances of *P* from the vertices *A*, *B*, *C*, and r_1 , r_2 , r_3 the distances of *P* from the sidelines *BC*, *CA*, *AB* respectively. Then holds:

$$R_1 + R_2 + R_3 \ge 2\left(r_1 + r_2 + r_3\right) \,. \tag{4.10}$$

It is well known that there are a few stronger versions of the Erdös-Mordell inequality (see e.g. [5], [13]). Here, we put forward two new stronger inequalities.

Conjecture 7. *For any interior point of* $\triangle ABC$ *, we have*

$$\frac{R_1 + R_2 + R_3}{r_1 + r_2 + r_3} \ge \frac{2\sqrt{4s^2 - 16Rr + 5r^2}}{m_a + m_b + m_c}$$
(4.11)

Inequality (1.1) shows (4.11) is stronger than (4.10).

Conjecture 8. For any interior point of $\triangle ABC$, we have

$$\frac{R_1 + R_2 + R_3}{r_1 + r_2 + r_3} \ge \frac{2\left(h_a + h_b + h_c + 2R\right)}{m_a + m_b + m_c + 4r} .$$
(4.12)

The following equivalent form of (3.1):

 $h_a + h_b + h_c + 2R \ge m_a + m_b + m_c + 4r \tag{4.13}$

means again (4.12) is stronger than the Erdös-Mordell inequality (4.10).

REFERENCES

[1] Chu, X.-G., Yang X.-Z., Some inequalities for the medians of a triangle, *Research in Inequalities*, Tibet People's Press, 2000.

[2] Liu, J., Chun X.-G., Journal of China Jiaotong University, 20(1), 89, 2003.

[3] Liu, J., Transylvanian Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics, 2(2), 141, 2010.

[4] Yin, H.-Y., 110 conjecture inequalities involving Ceva segments and radii of a triangle, *Research in Inequities*, Tibet People's Press, Lhasa, 2000.

[5] Mitrinović, D.S., Pečarić, J.E., Volenec, V., *Recent Advances in Geometric Inequalities*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1989.

[6] Bottema, O., Djordjević, R.Z., Janić, R.R., Mitrinović, D.S., Vasić, P.M., *Geometric Inequalities*, Groningen, 1969.

[7] Blundon, W.J., Can. Math. Bull, 8, 615, 1965.

[8] Dospinescu, G., Lascu, M., Pohoata C., Tetiva, M., J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 9(4), Art.100, 3 pages, 2008.

[9] Satnoianu, R.A., Math. Inequal. Appl., 7(2), 289, 2004.

[10] Ciamberlini, C., Bull. Un. Mat. Ital., 5(2), 37, 1943.

[11] Chu, X.-G., Liu, J., Missouri Journal of Mathematical Sciences, 21(3), 155, 2009.

[12] Liu, J., Journal of East China Jiaotong University, 25(1), 105, 2008.

[13] Liu, J., Int. Electron. J. Geom, 4(2), 114, 2011.