

MODULES THAT HAVE A WEAK RAD-SUPPLEMENT IN EVERY EXTENSION

EMINE ONAL KIR¹, HAMZA CALISICI²

Manuscript received: 15.03.2018; Accepted paper: 22.06.2018;

Published online: 30.09.2018.

Abstract. As a proper generalization of the modules with the properties (E) and (EE) that were introduced by Zöschinger in terms of supplements, we say that a module M has the property (WRE) (respectively, (WREE)) if M has a weak Rad-supplement (respectively, ample weak Rad-supplements) in every extension. In this paper, we prove that if every submodule of a module M has the property (WRE), then M has the property (WREE). We show that a ring R is semilocal if and only if every left R -module has the property (WRE). Also we prove that over a commutative Von Neumann regular ring a module M has the property (WRE) if and only if M is injective.

Keywords: weak Rad-supplement; extension; semilocal ring; Von Neumann regular ring.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16D10.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, R is an associative ring with identity and all modules are unital left R -modules. Let M be an R -module. By $U \leq M$, we mean that U is a submodule of M . A submodule U of M is said to be *small* in M , denoted as $U \ll M$, if $M \neq U + L$ for every proper submodule L of M . By $Rad(M)$, we denote the intersection of all maximal submodules of M or, equivalently the sum of all small submodules of M . A module M is called *radical* if M has no maximal submodules, that is, $M = Rad(M)$.

As a proper generalization of direct summands of a module, the notion of supplement submodules is defined. For U, V submodules of a module M , V is called a *supplement* of U in M if it is minimal with respect to $M = U + V$, equivalently $M = U + V$ and $U \cap V \ll V$. Then, it is natural to introduce a generalization of supplement submodules by [11, 19.3.(2)]. A submodule V of M is called a *weak supplement* of U in M if $M = U + V$ and $U \cap V \ll M$. A submodule U of M has *ample (weak) supplements* in M if, whenever $M = U + L$, L contains a (weak) supplement of U in M . A module M is called *weakly supplemented* if every submodule of M has a weak supplement in M (see [5]). By [4, 17.9(6)], if a module M is weakly supplemented, every submodule of M has ample weak supplements in M . A submodule V of M is called *radical supplement* or briefly *Rad-supplement* (according to [10], *generalized supplement*) of U in M if $M = U + V$ and $U \cap V \leq Rad(V)$ (see [4, 10.14]). A submodule U of M has *ample Rad-supplements* in M if every submodule L of M with $M = U + L$ contains a *Rad-supplement* of U in M . A submodule V of M is called *weak Rad-supplement* of a

¹ Ahi Evran University, Faculty of Sciences and Arts, Department of Mathematics, Kırşehir, Turkey.
E-mail: emn_71@hotmail.com

² Ondokuz Mayıs University, Faculty of Education, Department of Mathematics, Samsun, Turkey.
E-mail: hcalisici@omu.edu.tr

submodule U in M if $M = U + V$ and $U \cap V \leq \text{Rad}(M)$. A submodule U of M has *ample weak Rad-supplements* in M if every submodule L of M with $M = U + L$ contains a weak *Rad-supplement* of U in M . A module M is called *weakly Rad-supplemented* (according to [10], *weakly generalized supplemented*) if every submodule of M has a weak *Rad-supplement* in M [6]. Note that every submodule of a weakly *Rad-supplemented* module M has ample weak *Rad-supplements* in M . In the view of given definitions, we clearly have the following implication on submodules:

direct summand \Rightarrow *supplement* \Rightarrow *Rad – supplement* \Rightarrow *weak Rad – supplement*

Let R be a ring and M be an R -module. An R -module N is called an *extension* of M provided $M \subseteq N$.

It is well known that a module M is *injective* if and only if it is a direct summand in every extension. H. Zöschinger initiated the study of the modules that have a supplement (resp. ample supplements) in every extension, i.e. modules with *the property (E)* (resp. *(EE)*) in [12], as a generalization of injective modules. The author determined in the same paper the structure of modules with these properties.

In [9], S. Özdemir defined the modules that have (ample) a *Rad-supplement* in every extension, namely (*ample*) *Rad-supplementing modules*. He gave various properties of these modules. He provided that every left R -module is (ample) *Rad-supplementing* if and only if $R/P(R)$ is left perfect, where $P(R)$ is the sum of all left ideals I of R such that $\text{Rad}(I) = I$.

In [8], E. Önal, H. Çalışıcı and E. Türkmen called a module M has *the property (WE)* (resp. *(WEE)*) if M has a weak supplement (resp. ample weak supplements) in every extension. Also they gave new characterizations of left perfect rings via the modules that have the property *(WE)*.

Adapting the modules whose definitions were given above, we define and study the modules that have the property *(WRE)* (resp. *(WREE)*) as a proper generalization of the modules with the property *(E)* (resp. *(EE)*). A module M is called to have *the property (WRE)* (resp. *(WREE)*) if it has a weak *Rad-supplement* (resp. ample weak *Rad-supplements*) in every extension.

In this paper, we provide some properties of the modules with the properties *(WRE)* and *(WREE)*. We prove that if every submodule of a module M has the property *(WRE)*, then M has the property *(WREE)*. We show that every direct summand of a module with the property *(WRE)* has the property *(WRE)*. A ring R is semilocal if and only if every left R -module has the property *(WRE)*.

2. MAIN RESULTS

It is shown in [12, Lemma 1.3(a)] that direct summands of modules with the property *(E)* have the property *(E)*. Now we give an analogue of this fact for the modules with the property *(WRE)*.

Proposition 1: Every direct summand of a module with the property *(WRE)* has the property *(WRE)*.

Proof: Let M_1 be a direct summand of M . Then there exists a submodule M_2 of M such that $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$. Let N be an extension of M_1 . Let N' be the external direct sum $N \oplus M_2$ and

$\vartheta: M \rightarrow N'$ be the canonical embedding. Then $M \cong \vartheta(M)$ has the property (WRE). Hence, there exists a submodule V of N' such that $N' = \vartheta(M) + V$ and $\vartheta(M) \cap V \leq \text{Rad}(N')$. By the projection $\pi: N' \rightarrow N$, we have that $M_1 + \pi(V) = N$. Since $\text{Ker}(\pi) \subseteq \vartheta(M)$, $\pi(\vartheta(M) \cap V) = \pi(\vartheta(M)) \cap \pi(V) = M_1 \cap \pi(V) \leq \pi(\text{Rad}(N')) = \text{Rad}(\pi(N')) = \text{Rad}(N)$ by [11, 21.6]. Hence $\pi(V)$ is a weak Rad -supplement of M_1 in N .

Proposition 2: Let M be a module. If every submodule of M has the property (WRE), then M has the property (WREE).

Proof: Suppose that every submodule of M has the property (WRE). For any extension N of M , let $N = M + K$ for some submodule K of N . Since $M \cap K$ has the property (WRE), there exists a submodule L of K such that $(M \cap K) + L = K$ and $(M \cap K) \cap L = M \cap L \leq \text{Rad}(K)$. Note that $N = M + K = M + ((M \cap K) + L) = M + L$ and $M \cap L \leq \text{Rad}(N)$ by [11, 19.3]. It follows that L is a weak Rad-supplement of M in N .

Proposition 3: Let M be a module and U be a radical submodule of M . If the factor module M/U has the property (WRE), then M has the property (WRE).

Proof: Let N be any extension of M . Since M/U has the property (WRE), there exists a submodule V/U of N/U such that $M/U + V/U = N/U$ and $(M \cap V)/U \leq \text{Rad}(N/U)$. Since U is a radical submodule of M , it follows that $U \leq P$ for every maximal submodule P of M and so $\text{Rad}(N/U) = \text{Rad}(N)/U$. Note that $N = M + V$ and $M \cap V \leq \text{Rad}(N)$. Hence V is a weak Rad-supplement of M in N .

Proposition 4: Every radical module has the property (WRE).

Proof: Let M be a radical module and N be any extension of M . Since $\text{Rad}(M) = M$, then $N = M + N$ and $M \cap N = M = \text{Rad}(M) \leq \text{Rad}(N)$. Then N is a weak Rad-supplement of M in N .

Corollary 5: Every finite direct sum of radical modules has the property (WRE).

Proof: By [11, 21.6(5)], every finite direct sum of radical modules is a radical module and so it has the property (WRE) by Proposition 4.

Let $P(M) = \sum\{U \leq M \mid \text{Rad}(U) = U\}$. A module M is *reduced* if $P(M) = 0$. Since $P(M)$ is a radical submodule of M , we obtain the next result which is a direct consequence of Proposition 4.

Corollary 6: For a module M , $P(M)$ has the property (WRE).

Proposition 7: Let $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow M \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence and K be a radical module. If L has the property (WRE), M also has the property (WRE). If the sequence splits, the converse holds.

Proof: Without restriction of generality we will assume that $K \leq M$. Since $M/K \cong L$ has the property (WRE) and K is radical, then we have M has the property (WRE) by Proposition 3. On the other hand, suppose that the sequence splits. Then $M \cong K \oplus L$. If M has the property (WRE), then L also has the property (WRE) by Proposition 1.

A ring R is called a *left V-ring* if every simple left R -module is injective. It is known that R is a left V -ring if and only if for any left R -module M , $Rad(M) = 0$.

Proposition 8: Let R be a left V -ring and M be an R -module. The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) M has the property (WRE),
- (2) M is injective,
- (3) M has the property (WE).

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let N be any extension of M . Then there exists a weak Rad -supplement V of M in N , that is, $M + V = N$, $M \cap V \leq Rad(N)$. Since R is left V -ring, $Rad(N) = 0$. Hence we have that $N = M \oplus V$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) and (3) \Rightarrow (1) are clear.

A ring R is called *Von Neumann regular* if every element a of R can be written in the form axa for some $x \in R$. A commutative ring is a left V -ring if and only if it is Von Neumann regular [11, 23.5]. The next result is a direct consequence of this fact and Proposition 8.

Corollary 9: Let R be a commutative Von Neumann regular ring. Then an R -module M is injective if and only if it has the property (WRE).

In general, a module with the property (WRE) does not need to have the property (WE). To see this, we shall consider the following example:

Example 10: (see [2, Example 6.2]) Let k be a field. In the polynomial ring $k[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ with countably many indeterminates x_n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, consider the ideal $I = (x_1^2, x_2^2 - x_1, x_3^2 - x_2, \dots)$ generated by x_1^2 and $x_{n+1}^2 - x_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then the quotient ring $R = k[x_1, x_2, \dots]/I$

is a local ring and the ideal $J = (x_1, x_2, \dots)/I$ of R generated by all $x_n + I$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ is the

unique maximal ideal of R . Then $M = J^{(\mathbb{N})}$ is a radical R -module and so M has the property (WRE) by Proposition 4. Since R is not a left perfect ring, $M = J^{(\mathbb{N})} = Rad(R^{(\mathbb{N})})$ does not have a weak supplement in $R^{(\mathbb{N})}$ by [1, Theorem 1]. Thus M does not have the property (WE).

We recall from [4] that a ring R is a *left Bass ring* if and only if for every nonzero left R -module M , $Rad(M) \ll M$.

Lemma 11: Let R be a left Bass ring and M be an R -module. Then every weak Rad -supplement in M is a weak supplement in M .

Proof: Let V be a weak *Rad*-supplement submodule in M . Then there exists a submodule U of M such that $M = U + V$ and $U \cap V \leq \text{Rad}(M)$. Since R is a left Bass ring, $\text{Rad}(M) \ll M$. Hence V is a weak supplement of U in M .

Theorem 12: Let R be a left Bass ring. Then the followings are equivalent:

- (1) Every left R -module has the property (*WRE*),
- (2) Every left R -module has the property (*WE*).

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let M be an R -module with the property (*WRE*), and N be any extension of M . By hypothesis, there exists a weak *Rad*-supplement V of M in N . V is a weak supplement of M in N by Lemma 11. Thus M has the property (*WE*).

(2) \Rightarrow (1) is clear.

Recall from [3] that a module M is called *strongly radical supplemented* if every submodule of M containing $\text{Rad}(M)$ has a supplement in M . It is shown in [3, Corollary 2.1] that finite sums of strongly radical supplemented modules are strongly radical supplemented. Moreover every radical module is strongly radical supplemented by [3, Lemma 2.2].

In general, modules with the property (*WRE*) need not to have the property (*E*) as the following example shows.

Example 13: (see [7, Example 1]) For a non-complete local dedekind domain R , let M be the direct sum of left R -modules R^* , $K^{(I)}$ and R , where R^* is the completion of R , K is the quotient field of R and I is an index set, respectively. Since injective modules over a dedekind domain are strongly radical supplemented, it follows from [12, Lemma 3.3] that M has the property (*WRE*). On the other hand, M doesn't have the property (*E*) by [12, Theorem 3.5].

Next we prove that a factor module of a module with the property (*WRE*) has the property (*WRE*), under a special condition.

Proposition 14: Let $K \subseteq M \subseteq L$ be modules with L/K injective. If M has the property (*WRE*), then M/K also has the property (*WRE*).

Proof: Let N be any extension of M/K . Since L/K is injective, by [9, Lemma 2.16] we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \hookrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & M/K & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow f & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & P & \xrightarrow{g} & N & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

Since h is monomorphism and M has the property (*WRE*), $M \cong h(M)$ has a weak *Rad*-supplement V in P , that is, $h(M) + V = P$ and $h(M) \cap V \leq \text{Rad}(P)$. Note that $N = g(P) = g(h(M)) + g(V) = (f\sigma)(M) + g(V) = M/K + g(V)$ and by [11, 21.6], $M/K \cap g(V) = f(\sigma(M)) \cap g(V) = g[h(M) \cap V] \leq g(\text{Rad}(P)) = \text{Rad}(N)$. Hence $g(V)$ is a weak *Rad*-supplement of M/K in N , that is, M/K has the property (*WRE*).

A ring R is called *left hereditary* if every left ideal of R is projective in ${}_R R$. It is well

known that a ring R is left hereditary if and only if every factor module of an injective R -module is injective [11, 39.16].

Corollary 15: If R is a left hereditary ring and M is an R -module with the property (WRE) , then every factor module of M has the property (WRE) .

We also obtain the following result by Proposition 3 and Proposition 14.

Corollary 16: Let R be a left hereditary ring and M be an R -module. Then $M/P(M)$ has the property (WRE) if and only if M has the property (WRE) .

Theorem 17: For a ring R the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) R is semilocal,
- (2) Every left R -module is weakly Rad -supplemented,
- (3) Every left R -module has the property (WRE) ,
- (4) Every left R -module has the property $(WREE)$.

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let M be an R -module and U be a submodule of M . Since R is semilocal ring, M is a semilocal module by [5, Theorem 3.5]. Then there exists a submodule V of M such that $M = U + V$ and $U \cap V \leq Rad(M)$ by [5, Proposition 2.1]. Hence M is weakly Rad -supplemented.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let M be a left R -module and N be any extension of M . By hypothesis, N is weakly Rad -supplemented, and so M has a weak Rad -supplement in N .

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Let M be a left R -module. By hypothesis, every submodule of M has the property (WRE) . Then M has the property $(WREE)$ by Proposition 2.

(4) \Rightarrow (1) Let M be a left R -module with small radical and U be a submodule of M . Since U has the property $(WREE)$, there exists a submodule V of M such that $M = U + V$ and $U \cap V \leq Rad(M)$. Then V is a weak supplement of U in M , because $Rad(M) \ll M$. Thus M is weakly supplemented. Hence R is semilocal by [5, Theorem 3.5].

REFERENCES

- [1] Büyükaşık, E., and Lomp, C., *Mathematica Scandinavica*, **106**, 25, 2009.
- [2] Büyükaşık, E., Mermut, E., and Özdemir, S., *Rendiconti Del Seminario Matematico Della Universita di Padova*, **124**, 157, 2010.
- [3] Büyükaşık, E., and Türkmen, E., *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal*, **63**(8), 1306, 2012.
- [4] Clark, J., Lomp, C., Vanaja, N., and Wisbauer, R., *Lifting modules. Supplements and Projectivity in Module Theory*, Frontiers in Mathematics, Basel. Birkhauser, 2006.
- [5] Lomp, C., *Communications in Algebra*, **27**(4), 1921, 1999.
- [6] Nişancı, B., Türkmen, E., and Pancar, A., *International Journal of Computational Cognition*, **7**(2), 48, 2009.
- [7] Nişancı Türkmen, B., *Miskolc Mathematical Notes*, **16**(1), 543, 2015.
- [8] Önal, E., Çalışıcı, H., and Türkmen, E., *Miskolc Mathematical Notes*, **17**(1), 471, 2016.
- [9] Özdemir, S., *Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society*, **53**(2), 403, 2016.
- [10] Wang, Y., and Ding, N., *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, **10**(6), 1589, 2006.
- [11] Wisbauer, R., *Foundations of Modules and Rings*, Gordon and Breach, Philadelphia, 1991.
- [12] Zöschinger, H., *Mathematica Scandinavica*, **35**, 267, 1974.