

GENERALIZED n -POLYNOMIAL P -FUNCTIONS WITH SOME RELATED INEQUALITIES AND THEIR APPLICATIONS

SERAP OZCAN¹, MAHIR KADAKAL², IMDAT ISCAN³, HURIYE KADAKAL⁴

Manuscript received: 30.12.2023; Accepted paper: 04.10.2024;

Published online: 30.12.2024.

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce the notion of generalized n -polynomial P -function. We explore some algebraic properties of this function class. Additionally, we establish a new trapezium type inequality for this generalized class of functions and derive several refinements of the trapezium type inequality for functions whose first derivative in absolute value at a certain power is generalized n -polynomial P -function. Finally, we conclude our paper by exploring some applications of the results we have obtained in the context of special means. Our novel findings generalize previously known results in the literature.

Keywords: Convex function; n -polynomial harmonically convexity; generalized n -polynomial harmonically convexity; Hermite-Hadamard inequality; integral inequalities.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let I be a nonempty interval in the set of real numbers \mathbb{R} . A function $\Phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called convex if

$$\Phi(ta + (1-t)b) \leq t\Phi(a) + (1-t)\Phi(b)$$

for all $a, b \in I$ and $t \in [0,1]$. If this inequality reverses, then f is said to be concave on interval $I \neq \emptyset$.

Convex functions appear in a wide range of topics in pure and applied mathematics, including the theory of inequalities, see, for example, [1-6]. One of the early pivotal results in the theory of convex functions is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. [6] Let $\Phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function on an interval I with a nonempty interior. Then for all $a, b \in I$ with $a < b$ and $\Phi \in L[a, b]$ (Lebesgue integrable on the interval $[a, b]$), the following inequality holds:

$$\Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \Phi(x) dx \leq \frac{\Phi(a) + \Phi(b)}{2}. \quad (1)$$

¹ Kırklareli University, Faculty of Sciences and Arts, Department of Mathematics, 39100 Kırklareli, Turkey.
E-mail: serapozcan87@gmail.com.

² Bayburt University, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Department of Customs Management, 69000 Bayburt, Turkey. E-mail: mahirkadakal@gmail.com.

³ Giresun University, Faculty of Sciences and Arts, Department of Mathematics, 28200 Giresun, Turkey.
E-mail: imdati@yahoo.com.

⁴ Bayburt University, Faculty of Education, Department of Primary Education, 69000 Bayburt, Turkey.
E-mail: huriyekadakal@hotmail.com.

The inequality (1) is well known as the Hermite-Hadamard inequality [6]. For the refinements of the Hermite-Hadamard inequality, interested readers refer to convex functions that have been obtained [7-12].

In [13], Dragomir et al. introduced the class of P -function and established Hermite-Hadamard inequality for this class of functions as follows:

Definition 1. A function $\Phi: I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called P -function if

$$\Phi(tx + (1-t)y) \leq \Phi(x) + \Phi(y)$$

holds for all $x, y \in I$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

Theorem 2. [13] Let $\Phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a P -function. Then the inequality

$$\Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{2}{b-a} \int_a^b \Phi(x) dx \leq 2[\Phi(a) + \Phi(b)] \quad (2)$$

holds for all $a, b \in I$ with $a < b$.

Definition 2. [14] Let $h: J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative function, $h \neq 0$. We say that $\Phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an h -convex function, if Φ is non-negative and for all $x, y \in I, \alpha \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\Phi(\alpha x + (1-\alpha)y) \leq h(\alpha)\Phi(x) + h(1-\alpha)\Phi(y).$$

Observe that every P -function is an h -convex function, where h here is the constant function 1, that is $h(\alpha) = 1$ for all $\alpha \in J$.

In [15], İşcan and Kadakal gave the following definition and related Hermite-Hadamard inequality:

Definition 3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A $\Phi: I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called n -polynomial P -function if for every $x, y \in I$ and $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\Phi(tx + (1-t)y) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [2 - t^i - (1-t)^i][\Phi(x) + \Phi(y)].$$

Theorem 3. [15] Let $\Phi: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a n -polynomial P -function. If $a < b$ and $\Phi \in L[a, b]$, then the following Hermite-Hadamard inequality holds:

$$\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{n}{n+2^{-n}-1} \right) \Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \Phi(x) dx \leq \left(\frac{\Phi(a) + \Phi(b)}{n} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2i}{i+1}. \quad (3)$$

Recently, in [16] Kadakal et al. gave the definition of generalized n -polynomial convex functions as follows:

Definition 4. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_i \geq 0 (i = \overline{1, n})$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i > 0$. A non-negative function $\Phi: I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called generalized n -polynomial convex function if for every $x, y \in I$ and $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\Phi(tx + (1-t)y) \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i [1 - (1-t)^i]}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i} \Phi(x) + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i (1-t^i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i} \Phi(y) \quad (4)$$

The main purpose of this paper is to introduce the notion of generalized n -polynomial P -functions and establish some trapezium-type inequalities for this class of functions. Some applications to special means of positive real numbers are also given.

2. SOME ALGEBRAIC PROPERTIES OF GENERALIZED n -POLYNOMIAL P -FUNCTIONS

In this section, we are going to give a new definition namely generalized n -polynomial P -function and investigate some of its algebraic properties.

Definition 5. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_i \geq 0 (i = \overline{1, n})$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i > 0$. A function $\Phi: I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called generalized n -polynomial P -function if

$$\Phi(tx + (1-t)y) \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i [2 - t^i - (1-t)^i] [\Phi(x) + \Phi(y)]}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i} \quad (5)$$

holds for all $x, y \in I$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

We will denote by $GPOLP(I)$ the class of all generalized n -polynomial P -functions on interval I . We note that, every generalized n -polynomial P -function is an h -convex function with the function

$$h(t) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i [2 - t^i - (1-t)^i]}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i}$$

Therefore, if $\Phi, \Psi \in GPOLP(I)$, then

- i) $\Phi + \Psi \in GPOLP(I)$ and for $c \in \mathbb{R} (c \geq 0)$ $c\Phi \in GPOLP(I)$ (see [14], Proposition 9).
- ii) if Φ and Ψ be a similarly ordered function on I , then $\Phi\Psi \in GPOLP(I)$ (see [14], Proposition 10).

For more results on the class $GPOLP(I)$, see [14].

Remark 1. We note that if Φ satisfies (5), then Φ is a non-negative function. For $t = 0$, the inequality (5) reduces to the inequality

$$\Phi(y) \leq \Phi(x) + \Phi(y)$$

for all $x, y \in I$. So, one has $\Phi(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in I$.

Proposition 1. Let $\Phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a P -function. Suppose that n is a positive integer, and assume that a_1, \dots, a_n are non-negative real numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i > 0$. Then Φ is a generalized n -polynomial P -function with respect to a_1, \dots, a_n .

Proof: Let $x, y \in I$, and let $t \in [0, 1]$. Note that

$$1 - t^i \geq 1 - t \text{ and } 1 - (1-t)^i \geq 1 - (1-t) = t$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i [2 - t^i - (1-t)^i]}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i} \geq 1.$$

Thus from Φ being a P -function, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(tx + (1-t)y) \\ & \leq \Phi(x) + \Phi(y) \\ & \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i [2 - t^i - (1-t)^i]}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i} (\Phi(x) + \Phi(y)). \end{aligned}$$

This proves that Φ is a generalized n -polynomial P -function with respect to a_1, \dots, a_n .

Corollary 1. Every non-negative convex function is a generalized n -polynomial P -function.

Proof: Let $\Phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative convex function. Suppose that $x, y \in I$ and that $t \in [0, 1]$. Then from Φ being convex, we get

$$\Phi(tx + (1-t)y) \leq t\Phi(x) + (1-t)\Phi(y).$$

Thus from Φ being non-negative and $t \in [0, 1]$, we obtain

$$\Phi(tx + (1-t)y) \leq \Phi(x) + \Phi(y).$$

Hence Φ is a P -function. Then from Proposition 1, the result follows.

Theorem 4. Let a and b be real numbers such that $0 < a < b$, and let $\{\Phi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be an indexed family of generalized n -polynomial P -functions from $[a, b]$ into \mathbb{R} for some indexed set Λ . Define the function Φ on $[a, b]$ by $\Phi(x) = \sup\{\Phi_\alpha(x) : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ for all $x \in [a, b]$. Assume that the set $J = \{u \in [a, b] : \Phi(u) < \infty\}$ contains at least two distinct real numbers. Then J is an interval and the function Φ is a generalized n -polynomial P -function from J into \mathbb{R} .

Proof: Let $t \in [0, 1]$ and let $x, y \in J$ such that $x \neq y$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(tx + (1-t)y) \\ & = \sup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \Phi_\alpha(tx + (1-t)y) \\ & \leq \sup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i [2 - t^i - (1-t)^i] [\Phi_\alpha(x) + \Phi_\alpha(y)]}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i} \right] \\ & \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i [2 - t^i - (1-t)^i]}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i} \left[\sup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \Phi_\alpha(x) + \sup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \Phi_\alpha(y) \right] \\ & = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i [2 - t^i - (1-t)^i]}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i} [\Phi(x) + \Phi(y)] \\ & < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This shows simultaneously that J is an interval and that Φ is a generalized n -polynomial P -function on J . This completes the proof of the theorem.

3. HERMITE-HADAMARD INEQUALITY FOR GENERALIZED n -POLYNOMIAL P -FUNCTIONS

In this section, we will establish Hermite-Hadamard inequality for generalized n -polynomial P -functions. We will denote by $L[a, b]$ the space of (Lebesgue) integrable functions on $[a, b]$.

Theorem 5. Let $\Phi: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a generalized n -polynomial P -function. If $a < b$ and $\Phi \in L[a, b]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^i}\right)} \right) \Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \Phi(x) dx \leq \left[\frac{\Phi(a) + \Phi(b)}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i} \right] \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \left(\frac{2i}{i+1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Proof: From the property of the generalized n -polynomial P -function Φ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) &= \Phi\left(\frac{1}{2}[ta + (1-t)b] + \frac{1}{2}[(1-t)a + tb]\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \left[2 - 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^i\right]}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i} [\Phi(ta + (1-t)b) + \Phi((1-t)a + tb)]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking integral with respect to t , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) &\leq \int_0^1 \Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) dt \\ &\leq \frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i} \left[\int_0^1 \Phi(ta + (1-t)b) dt + \int_0^1 \Phi((1-t)a + tb) dt \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using the substitution $x = ta + (1-t)b$, we obtain

$$\int_0^1 \Phi(ta + (1-t)b) dt + \int_0^1 \Phi((1-t)a + tb) dt = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \Phi(x) dx.$$

Then

$$\Phi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{4 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^i}\right)}{(b-a) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i} \int_a^b \Phi(x) dx.$$

Now, we prove the second inequality in (6).

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \Phi(x) dx &= \int_0^1 \Phi(ta + (1-t)b) dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i [2-t^i - (1-t)^i] [\Phi(a) + \Phi(b)]}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i} \right] dt \\ &= \left[\frac{\Phi(a) + \Phi(b)}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i} \right] \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \int_0^1 [2-t^i - (1-t)^i] dt \\ &= \left[\frac{\Phi(a) + \Phi(b)}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i} \right] \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \left(\frac{2i}{i+1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\int_0^1 [2-t^i - (1-t)^i] dt = \frac{2i}{i+1}.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark 2. For $n = 1$, the inequality (6) reduces to the inequality (2).

Remark 3. For $a_i = 1 (i = \overline{1, n})$, the inequality (6) coincides with the inequality (3).

4. TRAPEZIUM TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR GENERALIZED n -POLYNOMIAL P -FUNCTIONS

In this section, we will establish new estimates that refine Hermite-Hadamard inequality for functions whose first derivative in absolute value is a generalized n -polynomial P -function.

Dragomir and Agarwal [17] gave the following lemma:

Lemma 1. Let I° denotes the interior of I , and let $\Phi: I^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable mapping on I° , $a, b \in I^\circ$ with $a < b$. If $\Phi' \in L[a, b]$, then the following identity holds:

$$\frac{\Phi(a) + \Phi(b)}{2} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \Phi(x) dx = \frac{b-a}{2} \int_0^1 (1-2t) \Phi'(ta + (1-t)b) dt.$$

Theorem 6. Let $\Phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function on I° (the interior of I), $a, b \in I^\circ$ with $a < b$ and assume that $\Phi' \in L[a, b]$. If $|\Phi'|$ is a generalized n -polynomial P -function on interval $[a, b]$, then the following inequality holds:

$$\left| \frac{\Phi(a) + \Phi(b)}{2} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \Phi(x) dx \right| \leq \frac{b-a}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \left[\frac{(i^2 + i + 2)2^i - 2}{(i+1)(i+2)2^i} \right] A(|\Phi'(a)|, |\Phi'(b)|), \quad (6)$$

where A is the arithmetic mean.

Proof: Using Lemma 1 and the inequality

$$|\Phi'(ta + (1-t)b)| \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i [2 - t^i - (1-t)^i] [|\Phi'(a)| + |\Phi'(b)|]}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\Phi(a) + \Phi(b)}{2} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \Phi(x) dx \right| \\ & \leq \frac{b-a}{2} \int_0^1 |1-2t| |\Phi'(ta + (1-t)b)| dt \\ & \leq \frac{b-a}{2} \int_0^1 |1-2t| \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i [2 - t^i - (1-t)^i] [|\Phi'(a)| + |\Phi'(b)|]}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i} \right) dt \\ & \leq \frac{b-a}{2 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i} [|\Phi'(a)| + |\Phi'(b)|] \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \int_0^1 |1-2t| [2 - t^i - (1-t)^i] dt \\ & = \frac{b-a}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \left[\frac{(i^2 + i + 2)2^i - 2}{(i+1)(i+2)2^i} \right] A(|\Phi'(a)|, |\Phi'(b)|), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\int_0^1 |1-2t| [2 - t^i - (1-t)^i] dt = \frac{(i^2 + i + 2)2^i - 2}{(i+1)(i+2)2^i}$$

and A is the arithmetic mean. So, the proof is completed.

Corollary 2. If we take $n = 1$ in the inequality (7), then we get the following inequality:

$$\left| \frac{\Phi(a) + \Phi(b)}{2} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \Phi(x) dx \right| \leq \frac{b-a}{2} A(|\Phi'(a)|, |\Phi'(b)|).$$

Remark 4. If we take $a_i = 1 (i = \overline{1, n})$, then the inequality (7) reduces to the inequality in [15, Theorem 4.1].

Theorem 7. Let $\Phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function on I° (the interior of I), $a, b \in I^\circ$ with $a < b$, $q > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and assume that $\Phi' \in L[a, b]$. If $|\Phi'|^q$ is a generalized n -polynomial P -function on interval $[a, b]$, then the following inequality holds:

$$\left| \frac{\Phi(a) + \Phi(b)}{2} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \Phi(x) dx \right| \leq \frac{b-a}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{4}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{i}{i+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} A^{\frac{1}{q}} (|\Phi'(a)|^q, |\Phi'(b)|^q), \quad (7)$$

where A is the arithmetic mean.

Proof: Using Lemma 1, Hölder's integral inequality and the inequality

$$|\Phi'(ta + (1-t)b)|^q \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i [2 - t^i - (1-t)^i] [|\Phi'(a)|^q + |\Phi'(b)|^q]}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\Phi(a) + \Phi(b)}{2} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \Phi(x) dx \right| \\ & \leq \frac{b-a}{2} \left(\int_0^1 |1-2t|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^1 |\Phi'(ta + (1-t)b)|^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \leq \frac{b-a}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{|\Phi'(a)|^q + |\Phi'(b)|^q}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \int_0^1 [2 - t^i - (1-t)^i] dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & = \frac{b-a}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{4}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{i}{i+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} A^{\frac{1}{q}} (|\Phi'(a)|^q, |\Phi'(b)|^q), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\int_0^1 |1-2t|^p dt = \frac{1}{p+1}$$

$$\int_0^1 [2 - t^i - (1-t)^i] dt = \frac{2i}{i+1}$$

This completes the proof of theorem.

Corollary 3. If we take $n = 1$ in the inequality (8), then we get the following inequality:

$$\left| \frac{\Phi(a) + \Phi(b)}{2} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \Phi(x) dx \right| \leq \frac{b-a}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} A^{\frac{1}{q}} (|\Phi'(a)|^q, |\Phi'(b)|^q). \quad (8)$$

Remark 5. If we take $a_i = 1 (i = \overline{1, n})$ in the inequality (8), then we get the inequality in [15, Theorem 4.2].

Theorem 8. Let $\Phi: I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function on I° , $a, b \in I^\circ$ with $a < b$, $q \geq 1$ and assume that $|\Phi'|^q$ is a generalized n -polynomial P -function on $[a, b]$, then the following inequality holds for $t \in [0, 1]$.

$$\left| \frac{\Phi(a) + \Phi(b)}{2} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \Phi(x) dx \right| \leq \frac{b-a}{2^{2-\frac{1}{q}}} \left(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{(i^2 + i + 2)2^i - 2}{(i+1)(i+2)2^{i-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} A^{\frac{1}{q}} (|\Phi'(a)|^q, |\Phi'(b)|^q), \quad (9)$$

where A is the arithmetic mean.

Proof: From Lemma 1, power-mean integral inequality and the property of the generalized n -polynomial P -function $|\Phi'|^q$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\Phi(a) + \Phi(b)}{2} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \Phi(x) dx \right| \\ & \leq \frac{b-a}{2} \left(\int_0^1 |1-2t| dt \right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_0^1 |1-2t| |\Phi'(ta + (1-t)b)|^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \leq \frac{b-a}{2^{2-\frac{1}{q}}} \left(\frac{|\Phi'(a)|^q + |\Phi'(b)|^q}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \int_0^1 |1-2t| [2-t^i - (1-t)^i] dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & = \frac{b-a}{2^{2-\frac{1}{q}}} \left(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{(i^2 + i + 2)2^i - 2}{(i+1)(i+2)2^{i-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} A^{\frac{1}{q}} (|\Phi'(a)|^q, |\Phi'(b)|^q), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\int_0^1 |1-2t| dt = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$\int_0^1 |1-2t| [2-t^i - (1-t)^i] dt = \frac{(i^2 + i + 2)2^i - 2}{(i)(i+2)2^{i+1}}$$

So, the proof is completed.

Corollary 4. Under the assumption of Theorem 8 with $q = 1$, we get the conclusion of Theorem 6.

Corollary 5. If we take $n = 1$ in the inequality (9), then we get the following inequality:

$$\left| \frac{\Phi(a) + \Phi(b)}{2} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \Phi(x) dx \right| \leq \frac{b-a}{4} A^{\frac{1}{q}} (|\Phi'(a)|^q, |\Phi'(b)|^q).$$

This inequality coincides with the inequality in [5, Theorem 1].

Corollary 6. If we take $a_i = 1 (i = \overline{1, n})$ in the inequality (9), then we get the inequality in [15, Theorem 4.3].

5. APPLICATIONS FOR SPECIAL MEANS

Throughout this section, the following notations will be used for special means of two nonnegative numbers a, b with $b > a$:

1. The arithmetic mean

$$A := A(a, b) = \frac{a+b}{2}, \quad a, b \geq 0$$

2. The geometric mean

$$G := G(a, b) = \sqrt{ab}, \quad a, b \geq 0$$

3. The harmonic mean

$$H := H(a, b) = \frac{2ab}{a+b}, \quad a, b > 0$$

4. The logarithmic mean

$$L := L(a, b) = \begin{cases} \frac{b-a}{\ln b - \ln a}, & a \neq b; \\ a, & a = b \end{cases} \quad a, b > 0$$

5. The p -logarithmic mean

$$L_p := L_p(a, b) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{b^{p+1} - a^{p+1}}{(p+1)(b-a)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & a \neq b, p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1, 0\}; \\ a, & a = b \end{cases} \quad a, b > 0.$$

6. The identric mean

$$I := I(a, b) = \frac{1}{e} \left(\frac{b^b}{a^a} \right)^{\frac{1}{b-a}}, \quad a, b > 0$$

The following simple relationships are known in the literature:

$$H \leq G \leq L \leq I \leq A$$

Proposition 2. Let $a, b \in [0, \infty)$ with $a < b$ and $m \in (-\infty, 0) \cup [1, \infty) \setminus \{-1\}$. Then, one has

$$\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \left[1 - \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^i \right]} \right) A^m(a, b) \leq L_m^m(a, b) \leq A(a^m, b^m) \frac{2}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \left(\frac{2i}{i+1} \right).$$

Proof: The assertion follows from the inequalities (6) for the function

$$\Phi(x) = x^m, \quad x \in [0, \infty).$$

Proposition 3. Let $a, b \in (0, \infty)$ with $a < b$. Then, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \left[1 - \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^i \right]} \right) A^{-1}(a, b) \leq L^{-1}(a, b) \\ & \leq H^{-1}(a, b) \frac{2}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \left(\frac{2i}{i+1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof: The assertion follows from the inequalities (6) for the function

$$\Phi(x) = x^{-1}, \quad x \in (0, \infty).$$

6. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have shown new Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for the newly defined class of functions, the so-called generalized n -polynomial P -functions. Furthermore, we have derived certain trapezium type inequalities for this class of functions. Additionally, we have investigated some applications of these results in the context of special means.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ali, M.A., Budak, H., Sarıkaya, M.Z., Set, E., *Mathematica Slovaca*, **72**(6), 1489, 2022.
- [2] Iscan, I., Kadakal, H., Kadakal, M., *Sigma Journal of Engineering and Natural Sciences*, **35**(3), 363, 2017.
- [3] Maden, S., Kadakal, H., Kadakal, M., Iscan, I., *Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications*, **10**(12), 6141, 2017.

- [4] Ozcan, S., *Filomat*, **33**(14), 4377, 2019.
- [5] Pearce, C. E. M., Pecaric, J., *Applied Mathematics Letters*, **13**, 51, 2000.
- [6] Hadamard, J., *Journal de Mathematiques Pures et Appliquees*, **58**, 171, 1893.
- [7] Dragomir S. S., Pearce, C. E. M., *Selected Topics on Hermite-Hadamard Inequalities and Its Applications*, RGMIA Monograph, Victoria, 2002.
- [8] Iscan, I., Turhan, S., Maden, S., *Filomat*, **31**(19), 5945, 2017.
- [9] Ozcan, S., *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, **2020**(175), 1, 2020.
- [10] Ozcan, S., *Konuralp Journal of Mathematics*, **8**(1), 158, 2020.
- [11] Ozcan, S., *Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number Theory*, **9**(3), 65, 2021.
- [12] Ozcan, S., *Filomat*, **37**(28), 9777, 2023.
- [13] Dragomir S.S., Pecaric, J., Persson, L.E., *Soochow Journal of Mathematics*, **21**(3), 335, 2001.
- [14] Varosanec, S., *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **326**(1), 303, 2007.
- [15] Iscan, I., Kadakal, M., *International Journal of Mathematical Combinatorics*, **3**, 16, 2020.
- [16] Kadakal, M., Iscan, I., Kadakal, H., *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, Early access, 1–13, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.15672/hujms.1310861>
- [17] Dragomir, S. S., Agarwal, R. P., *Applied Mathematics Letters*, **11**(5), 91, 1998.